

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as indicated in the following listing of all claims:

1. (Currently amended) A keeper circuit for a dynamic node of a circuit, wherein the effective strength of the keeper circuit operating on the dynamic node is reduced from a first non-zero strength level to a second non-zero strength level during an interval in which at least one path in an evaluation circuit is sensitive to a keeper device.
2. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 1, wherein the sensitivity of the at least one path includes output of an incorrect value of the evaluation circuit output.
3. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 1, wherein a response to the sensitivity is otherwise a reduced speed of the evaluation circuit output.
4. (Cancelled)
5. (Currently amended) A circuit comprising:
a dynamic node;
an evaluation circuit coupled to the dynamic node; and
a keeper circuit coupled to the dynamic node, wherein the keeper circuit has a first non-zero strength during a first interval and a second non-zero strength during a second interval, the first non-zero strength being substantially greater than the second non-zero strength ~~the effective strength of the keeper circuit operating on the dynamic node is reduced during an interval in which at least one path in the evaluation circuit is sensitive to a first keeper device.~~
6. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the keeper circuit latches an output of the circuit.
7. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the keeper circuit includes ~~the~~ a first keeper device.

8. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 7, wherein the keeper circuit includes a keeper gating device coupled to the first keeper device and the dynamic node.

9. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the keeper circuit includes a weak keeper device coupled to the dynamic node.

10. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the keeper circuit is responsive to a keeper control.

11. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 10, wherein the keeper control is clocked.

12. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 10, wherein the keeper control is self-timed.

13. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, further comprising:
a clock node;
 a precharge device coupled to the clock node and the dynamic node; and
a discharge device coupled to the clock node and the evaluation circuit.

14. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 13, wherein the precharge device and the evaluation circuit operate during different phases of a control signal.

15. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim ~~5~~ 7, wherein the first keeper device is sized to sufficiently overcome the leakage current in the evaluation circuit.

16. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein ~~the~~ a reduction in the effective strength of the keeper circuit from the first non-zero strength to the second non-zero strength occurs before arrival of ~~a fastest signal coupled to a sensitive output path of the evaluation circuit~~ an earliest signal transitioning to a level that can discharge the dynamic node.

17. (Currently amended) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the effective keeper circuit strength is restored to the first non-zero strength from the second non-zero strength after

~~arrival of a slowest signal coupled to a sensitive output path of the evaluation circuit~~ a latest signal transitioning to a level that can discharge the dynamic node.

18. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 9, wherein the weak keeper device is minimally sized to sufficiently overcome noise while the first keeper device is effectively disabled.

19. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the dynamic node is precharged high.

20. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 19, wherein the evaluation circuit is n-logic.

21. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 5, wherein the dynamic node is precharged low.

22. (Original) The circuit, as recited in claim 21, wherein the evaluation circuit is p-logic.

23. (Currently amended) A method for evaluating a dynamic node, comprising:
precharging a dynamic node;
effectively disabling a first keeper device coupled to the dynamic node during an interval
in which at least one path in an evaluation circuit is sensitive to a keeper device;
evaluating an evaluation circuit;
protecting the dynamic node from noise during the interval; and
effectively enabling the first keeper device.

24. (Cancelled)

25. (Currently amended) A method for weakening a keeper circuit, comprising:

reducing effective keeper circuit strength from a first non-zero strength to a second non-zero strength during an interval in which at least one path of an evaluation circuit is sensitive to a ~~strong~~ keeper device.

26. (Original) The method, as recited in claim 25 for weakening a keeper circuit, further comprising:

maintaining a weak keeper device during the interval.

27. (Currently amended) An apparatus for evaluating a dynamic node, comprising:

a dynamic node;

a first keeper device coupled to the dynamic node;

means for precharging a the dynamic node;

means for effectively disabling a the first keeper device coupled to the dynamic node during an interval in which at least one path in an evaluation circuit is sensitive to a keeper device;

means for protecting the dynamic node from noise during the interval;

means for evaluating an evaluation circuit; and

means for effectively enabling the first keeper device.

28. (Cancelled)

29. (New) A circuit comprising:

a dynamic node;

a precharge device coupled to the dynamic node;

an evaluation circuit coupled to the dynamic node;

a discharge device coupled to the evaluation circuit;

a clock node coupled to the precharge device and the discharge device; and

a keeper circuit coupled to the dynamic node, wherein a first keeper device is selectively disabled during a first interval.

30. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 29, further comprising:

at least a second keeper device, the second keeper device being coupled to the dynamic node;

wherein a gain of the first keeper device is substantially greater than a gain of the second keeper device.

31. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 30, wherein the evaluation circuit overcomes the second keeper.

32. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 30, wherein the second keeper has a gain sufficient to overcome noise but insufficient to overcome leakage current in the evaluation circuit.

33. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 29, further comprising:
a keeper gating device coupling the first keeper device to the dynamic node.

34. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 29, wherein the first keeper has a gain sufficient to overcome leakage current in the evaluation circuit.

35. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 23, wherein the dynamic node is protected by at least a weak keeper.

36. (New) The circuit, as recited in claim 27, wherein the means for protecting the dynamic node comprises a weak keeper.